WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

011	v.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Fra	ancisco Bernardo Rizo-Ayala	Case Number:	11-6220M	
and was repre			as held on May 16, 2011. Defendant was presen ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
final har a man		INDINGS OF FACT		
	ponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
		ne defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contact	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ry.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using	g numerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by f	eeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	y	rears imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as noted COI	in the record. NCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION		the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, for lefendant shall be afforded a reasonable of States or on request of an attorney for the C the United States Marshal for the purpose	om persons awaiting or so oportunity for private cons Government, the person in	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a courn charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS (deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de	etention order be filed witl	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffices	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be conside District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 17 th day of May, 2011.			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge